UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Raelin Colema	n Case Number: 0	5-80787	
	Defendant			
	In accordance with the Bail Reformation of the defendant pending triangles	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been l in this case.	held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
	Part I—Findings of Fact			
	or local offense that would hat a crime of violence as de an offense for which the	n an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has are been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to fined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. aximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is pro-	e federal jurisdiction had existed - that is	
		ted after the defendant had been convicted of two or more	re prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
		omparable state or local offenses.		
		ing (1) was committed while the defendant was on release years has elapsed since the date of conviction _[nding (1).		
	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3)	establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or cand the community. I further find that the defendant has		
		Alternative Findings (A)		
		lieve that the defendant has committed an offense		
		rm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	·	
		. If the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition as required and the safety of the community.	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	11	Alternative Findings (B)		
	(1) There is a serious risk that the	<u> </u>		
	(2) There is a serious risk that the	e defendant will endanger the safety of another person or	the community.	
		Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for D	Detention	
I	I find that the credible testimony a	nd information submitted at the hearing establishes by	clear and convincing evidence a prepon-	
	nce of the evidence that	·		
the Can uner on 8 risk To the reaso Gove	Detroit River, where defendant nadian citizen and crosses the lamployed has a history of failure 8/23/05 with a 12 gauge shotgut of flight and a danger to the complete to the cele extent practicable, from persons onable opportunity for private con	hally arming himself to shoot his victim with a 12 gain descended it. The weapon had one spent shell can be descended it. The weapon had one spent shell can be determined to see her. It was their intention to be to appear and failure to comply with court judgment in in his car with a round of ammunition. Defendant immunity. No conditions would assure defendant's Part III—Directions Regarding Determinated of the Attorney General or his designated represent a awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States.	se in the chamber. Defendant is married to a plife together in Canada. Defendant is ints. Defendant was picked up on a traffic stop has no stable residence. Defendant poses a appearance or community safety. ion ative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a the United States or on request of an attorney for the	
	September 6, 2005	s/ Mona K. Majzoub		
	Date		ature of Judge	

MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).